



6.3.5 - Institutions Performance Appraisal System for teaching and nonteaching staff

Performance Appraisal System at GJIMT

GJIMT has established a robust and transparent performance appraisal system to evaluate both teaching and non-teaching staff annually. The comprehensive assessment framework utilizes role-specific Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to ensure fair and objective evaluations.

For teaching faculty, the 360 degree appraisal process incorporates multiple assessment methods including self-evaluation, peer reviews, and student feedback. The system focuses on three critical areas: teaching effectiveness, research contributions), and institutional involvement.

Non-teaching staff are evaluated based on operational efficiency, punctuality, task management, and overall job performance. The appraisal criteria emphasize workplace productivity, adherence to institutional policies, and contribution to organizational goals.

During the last academic year (1st June 2024 to 31st May 2025), 38% of employees received an appraisal based on their performance evaluation scores. GJIMT's merit-based appraisal structure offers regular and fast-track promotion opportunities, aligning individual growth with institutional goals. This robust system enhances accountability, motivation, and job satisfaction while ensuring staff remain aligned with evolving educational and industry standards.

This dynamic appraisal framework serves multiple purposes: maintaining accountability, motivating staff through performance recognition, and aligning individual growth with institutional objectives. By systematically tracking professional development, the system ensures staff remain current with evolving educational practices and industry standards. The transparent evaluation process and clear career pathways significantly enhance job satisfaction and retention, ultimately contributing to GJIMT's academic excellence.



PERFORMA FOR ANNUAL APPRAISALS (ACADEMIC YEAR 20...... to 20......)

1

Name (in Block Letters)

(Please attach as many as proofs possible)

2	Father's Name/Mother's Name						
3	Date of Birth						
4	Department						
5	Date of Joining						
6	Present Designation held from (Date)						
7	Highest Qualification (Attach Copy)						
8	Any Higher Qualification being perused with its present status						
9. Record of leaves availed in the Academic Year Casual Leave :							
	s In charge (No. of students)						
(Outcom	es/ solution to student problem. Please a	attach a sheet)					
12. Any	Warning Letter/Explanation Letter/Co	unseling Letter issued (Mention the reason)					
(03 marks will be deducted from the overall score for each Warning Letter/Explanation Letter/Counseling							
Letter iss	Letter issued).						

TEACHING, LEARNING AND EVALUATION RELATED ACTIVITIES

(Please attach as many as proofs possible)

13. Lect	tures, Sei	minars,	Tutorials,	Practical's	(give sen	າester-wis	e details	from Janu	ıary'	to	•
May'	ć	and July	·'	to Dec'	F	or calcula	ation of re	sult refer	to Annexu	ıre-l	(50)

S.No.	Title of the	Subject	Load Per Week		No. of periods	Total No. of Students	Total No. of students	Total No. of Students	Result Pass	
	Course		L	Т	P	per week		appeared		(%)

Lecture (L), Seminars (S), Tutorials (T), Practical (P), (for result calculation check Annexure-I)

14. Use of Participatory and innovative Teaching-Learning Methodologies, Updating of subject content, Course Improvement etc. (Please attach as many as proofs possible)

S.No.	ltem	No.	Whether Provided to students
1	PPT's		
2	Case Studies		
3	Tutorials		
4	Surprise Test		
5	Quiz		
6	Any Other		

14 (A). Reading/Instructional material consulted and additional knowledge resources provided to students (give semester wise details) (Please attach as many as proofs possible) (50)

S.No.	Course/Paper	Materiel Consulted	Materiel Prescribed	Additional Resource provided

S.No.	Type of Duties		Duti	es Assigned	E	Extent to which carried out			
					CONTRI				
Book	•			•	s proofs p				
. Kesea	arch Papers/Book			ies/Chapters	Whether pe		ear 20	το 20	
S.No.	Title with page no	Journal/B Title, edit publish	or & IS	SN/ISBN No.	reviewed Impact fact if any	No.		Whether you are the main author	
(A) B			0		0			.00 4 00	
(A). Pap	pers presented in C			Ī	, Symposia in	the academi		ther International	
S.No.	Title of the paper presented	Confe	e of rence/ ar etc	Date(s) of the event	Organis	ganised by		National/State/ ional/ University r College Level	
							•	•	
								·	
								<u>, </u>	
ogram	ing Courses, Tead mes (Not less tha to 20						acult		
ogram	mes (Not less tha	n one wee			s and Confe	rences atte	-acult		
ogram	mes (Not less tha to 20	n one wee		on), Seminar	s and Confe	rences atte	-acult	in the year	
ogram	mes (Not less tha to 20	n one wee		on), Seminar	s and Confe	rences atte	-acult	in the year	

15. Any Additional Activities

18. Resea	rch Guidance			
	S.No.	Number Enrolled	Thesis Submitted	Degree Awarded
M.Tech/M	.Phil Equivalent			
Ph.D or E	quivalent			
	(Ple	ase attach as ma	NT INFORMATION any as proofs possible attinuing Education Progran	le)
S.No.	Title	Duration	Major Sponsor (S)	Level (International/National)

Grant/ Amount Mobilized (Rs Lakhs)

Period

17 (A). Ongoing Research projects and consultancies/Innovative work done

Agency

S.No.

Title

20. Achievements/Awards/Recognition during the year: (Give details on a separate sheets)
21. Over all self appraisal
22. (i)Targets set for the year
(ii)Target Achieved
(iii)Not achieving the set targets & reason thereof
Note: For details use separate sheet.
I certify that the information provided is correct as per records available with the University.

Signature of the Candidate

Annexure-I

Instructions for Filling up Annual Appraisal Performa

(Please attach as many as proofs possible while filling the Performa)

Teaching, Learning and Evaluation Related Activities

S. No	Nature of Activity						
(i) (a)	Lectures, seminars, tutorials, practical should be based on verifiable records. No score should be assigned if a teacher has taken less than 80% of the assigned classes.						
(ii)	Imparting of knowledge / instruction as per curriculum with the prescribed material (Text book/Manual etc.), syllabus enrichment by providing additional resources to students						
(iii)	Use of participatory and innovative teaching-learning methodologies; updating of subject content, course improvement etc.						
(iv)	Updating of Courses, design of curriculum,						
(v)	Participatory & Innovative T/L Process with material for problem based learning, case studies, Group discussions etc. a) Interactive Courses b) Participatory Learning modules c) Case studies Academic result Calculation for Theory /Practical Subjects. For Example Total No. of students in a class = 60						
	Performance of Students	No. of Students Passed	Corresponding % (total Students passed/ Total Students *100)	Weightage	Score		
	Students performing between 81-100%	10	10/60*100 = 16.6% (A)	=A*5 16.6% * 5= 0.83	0.83		
	Students performing between 71-80%	8	8/60*100 = 13.3% (B)	=B*4 13.3% * 4= 0.53	0.53		
	Students performing between 61-70%	5	5/60*100 = 8.33% (C)	=C* 3 8.33% * 3 = 0.24	0.24		
	Students performing between 51-60%	15	15/60*100 = 25% (D)	=D*2 25% *2 = 0.5	0.5		
	Students performing between 40-50%	7	7/60*100= 11.6% (E)	=E*1 11.6 *1 = 0.11	0.11		
	Students performing less than 40%	15	5/60*100 = 25% (F)	=F*0 25% * 0= 0	0.0		
	Score				Sum=2.21		
	Total Score (%)		Sum/5*100 (2.21/5*	*100 = 44.2%)			

Students Performance =	Score calculated for Theory courses

Institutional Co-curricular activities for students such as Class Counseling/industry-implant training and placement activity. Positions held/Leadership role played in organization linked with Extension Work and National service Scheme (NSS), NCC, Students and Staff Related Socio Cultural and Sports Programmes, Institutional Governance responsibilities like, Warden, Coordinator, Participation in committees concerned with any aspect of departmental or institutional management such as admission committee, campus development, library committee. Responsibility or participation in committees for Students Welfare, Counseling and Discipline. Organization of Conference / Training as Chairman/Organizational Secretary. Membership in profession related committees/bodies at state, national and international level.

RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

S.No.	Criteria	Faculties of Engineering	Faculties of Management
(vii)	Research Papers (Published in Journals)	International Journals : 01 Publication = 05 Marks National Journals : 01 Publication = 03 Marks	International Journals : 01 Publication = 05 Marks National Journals : 01 Publication = 03 Marks
(viii)	Research Publications (books, chapters in books, other than refereed journal articles)	Text or Reference Books Published by International Publishers with an established peer review system = 05 Marks Subjects Books by National level publishers/State and Central Govt. Publications with ISBN/ISSN numbers =03 Marks	Text or Reference Books Published by International Publishers with an established peer review system = 05 Marks Subjects Books by National level publishers/State and Central Govt. Publications with ISBN/ISSN numbers = 03 Marks
(ix)	Paper Presentation in Conferences	Conference proceedings as full papers, etc. (abstracts not to be included). International Conference= 04 Marks National Conference = 03 Marks	Conference proceedings as full papers, etc. (abstracts not to be included). International Conference= 04 Marks National Conference = 03 Marks
(x)	Seminars, Workshop, Symposia	Max. Marks =02	Max. Marks =02
(xi)	Ongoing Research projects and consultancies	Max. Marks =02	Max. Marks =02
(xii)	Research Guidance	PhD Degree awarded =02 Marks M.Tech degree awarded = 01 Marks/M.tech	



Self-Appraisal Form (Admin Staff)

	Appraisal Period ()	
1.	Name:		
2.	Contact no:		
3.	Date of Joining:		
4.	Designation & Dept.:		
5. 8	Self-evaluation:		

Appraisal Summary Score

(For Office Use Only)

S.no	Section	Total Marks(100)	Marks Secured
1	Technical Adequacy	50	
2	Conduct & General Impression	30	
3	Values, Attitudes, Efforts towards institutional focus areas	20	
	Appraisal Score (Sum of Section Wi	ise Scores) *	

^{*} Faculty members' extra-ordinary contribution and efforts for the institution may be considered and duly recognized as part of the appraisal process by the appraising authorities.

Self-Appraisal Form (To be filled by Admin Staff)

RATINGS SCALES: 1/2/3/4/5

- 1 = Below Standards or Expected Results Performance is below standards. Employee does not fully achieve assigned goals. Work assignments are not consistent in meeting quality standards or deadlines. Employee requires close supervision and does not consistently demonstrate the ability to perform job functions at expected level of proficiency.
- 2-3 = Meets Expectations Satisfactorily performs assigned activities and achieves expected outcomes. Work assignments typically are completed on time and meet all quality standards. Employee works under general or minimum supervision, with demonstrated understanding of all job functions and expected standards.
- 4 5 = Exceeds Expectations Quality and quantity of work is routinely higher than expected. Performance reflects high degree of initiative, commitment to excellence and ability to anticipate and respond to the needs of the institution. Employee demonstrates mastery of all job functions and

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Item	Applies	Not	Self	Apprais	Final	Remarks
		Appli-	Rating	er	Ratin	
		cable		Rating	g	
(C) 1 · 1 · 1						
Technical Adequacy:-						
Proficiency in Current						
role						
Quality of work						
Quantity of work						
-						
Neatness						
Accuracy						
	Technical Adequacy:- Proficiency in Current role Quality of work Quantity of work Neatness	Technical Adequacy:- Proficiency in Current role Quality of work Quantity of work Neatness	Technical Adequacy:- Proficiency in Current role Quality of work Quantity of work Neatness	Technical Adequacy:- Proficiency in Current role Quality of work Quantity of work Neatness	Technical Adequacy:- Proficiency in Current role Quality of work Quantity of work Neatness	Applicable Rating er Rating g Technical Adequacy:- Proficiency in Current role Quality of work Quantity of work Neatness

6	Punctuality in work			
7	Methodical and			
,	systematic Working			
8	Promptness in completion of work			
9	Regularity in attendance			
10	Relations with Superiors			
11	Relations with Colleagues			
12	Relations with members of public – students and parents			
13	Dependability			
14	Capacity to get work done			
II	General Impression :-			
1	General Impression & Grasp			
2	Leadership Qualities			
3	Level of Knowledge (related to the Section/Department)			
4	Tech. ability (wherever relevant).			
5	Spl. Complementary aptitude qualities etc. other than job requirements.			

	susing representative examples from the current appraisal period.
Faculty Ro	emarks
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Grading/F	Remarks by the appraiser
Grading/F	Remarks by the appraiser