

#### UGC FUNDING OF CENTRAL UNIVERSITIES IN INDIA

Lovely Bhola<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Guru Nanak Dev University Amritsar, Punjab 143005

Email ID: <a href="https://www.ic.enable-commutation-commutatio-commutation-commutation-co

Contact: 9803227298

# Dr G.S Bhalla<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Guru Nanak Dev University Amritsar, Punjab 143005

Email ID: <u>hellogsbhalla@gmail.com</u>

Contact: 9417293131

#### **ABSTRACT**

Education sector is the sector which has direct impact on society for its growth and development as it is considered as a source of the physical, mental, and intellectual development of human being (Dillon 2012). Education can contribute more towards the progress of any nation if it is properly financed so this study focuses on allocation of funds to Central Universities by UGC to know the growth rate in funding to central universities. Data from UGC annual reports for the period of 2007-08 to 2015-16 comprising of 41 central universities in planned and 25 in non-planned allocations has been collected for this purpose. Both types of data related to planned and non-planned grants by UGC to central universities are considered to know the growth rate. The data so collected has been analyzed with the help of suitable tools of analysis. Percentages, graphs and diagrams have been used for analysis of the study. CAGR (Compound Annual Growth Rate) has been used to examine the growth of funds by using log linear regression model. Findings reveal that there is significant growth rate in plan grants as well as no plan grants by UGC to the central universities. Plan funds and non-planned are having compound annual growth rate of 14.68% and 12.64% respectively. Findings suggest that growth rate in Planned and Non Planned allocation grants is significant.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Grants, Central Universities, Planned, Non-Planned



#### INTRODUCTION

Education is considered as imperative tool for the progress of any economy and that can be possible if education is adequately funded by government. Education spending and economic growth are having two way relationships (Chandra, Jamia, 2010). Thereby education financing should be the top priority but many countries expenditure on education is said to be an investment for the country but it is unfortunate that it is negligible. At present educational institutes are facing shortage of resources and lack in utilizing the resources. It is not the problem of one country, many countries is facing the problems of resources are utilized by education institutes (Afonso, Santos, 2004). It became an another problem when the responsibility of two is done by only one as in case of India, where the responsibility to finance the education is of central government as well as of state government but 80% funds are provided by state government (Tilak, 2008).

Financing of education is always been a matter of discussion in India. There are lots of hurdles in this way. In earlier time when private players are not there in education sector, at that time education is financed by government itself. For this government has to maintain huge reserves for education, but now a day private sector is involved in this sector too, they charge hefty fee from students and provide services, which become a matter of discussion that government should raise their standard to meet the quality in government's institutes, that can happen if government provide timely and appropriate funds to educational institutes in order to provide educational services

In India there are 4 kinds of universities namely Central universities, State Universities, Deemed Universities and Private Universities. Private Universities are those universities which can give degrees but UGC not allow it to establish and run off campus colleges. Deemed universities are given status of autonomy u/s 3 of UGC act 1956. State universities are those which are established by passing an act in Legislative Assembly and run by state government of respective state.

## **CENTRAL UNIVERSITIES:**

Central universities are established by central government by passing an act in Parliament. Union government provides grants to Central Universities. Among all central Universities, University of



Allahabad is oldest one. There are 47 central universities in different states according to the UGC list as on 29/06/2017.

State	No. of central Universities
Andhra Pradesh	0
Arunachal Pradesh	1
Assam	2
Bihar	3
Chandigarh	0
Delhi	5
Goa	0
Gujarat	1
Haryana	1
Himachal Pradesh	1
Jammu and Kashmir	2
Jharkhand	1
Karnataka	1
Kerala	1
Madhya Pradesh	2
Maharashtra	1
Manipur	2
Meghalaya	1
Mizoram	1
Nagaland	1
Odisha	1
Puducherry	1
Punjab	1
Rajasthan	1
Sikkim	1
Tamil Nadu	2
Telangana	3
Tripura	1
Uttar Pradesh	6



Uttrakhand	1
West Bengal	1
Total	47

Source: List of Central Universities by UGC

UGC provides two types of grants to central universities namely Planned and Non Planned grants. Planned grants are for improvement in infrastructure as well as to increase the excellence in certain areas. General Plan Development Grant to Universities is being made available on the basis of the outlays determined and communicated to the Universities. Generally planned grants are given to central university for the purpose of enhancing access, ensuring equity, imparting relevant education, improving quality and excellence, making their University administration more effective, providing more Faculty Improvement programmes, enhancing facilities for students, augmenting research facilities and other plans of the University. Basically planned grants are used for construction of the new buildings or to restructuring old buildings, purchasing new books, conferences, workshops for research purpose. Planned grants are given to those universities who meet the requirement given by UGC in terms of infrastructure, staff, books, journals. Non-planned are given for maintenance purpose.

## LITERATRURE REVIEW:

Author`s	Year	Objectives	Country	Sample	Research Findings
Name	of			size	
	study				
Tilak	1991	To know the	India	Data of	It is found that family expenditure on
		growth in		government	education is fairly large. Expenditure
		expenditure		and family	by family and government on education
		of education		expenditure	is positively related, but if talk about
		by family and		from 1960-	percentage of GNP then the
		government		61 to 1984-	expenditure not found to be satisfactory
		and to know		85	
		the			
		relationship			
		between			

Extensive studies are available on financing of education; few of them are described here.



Parkash	2007	family and government expenditure on education. To know the trends in financing higher	India	Data of period 1981-2001	Expenditure on education increased by 4.13 times. Central government share in financing education is on 25% which increased by 5%. Another finding of
		education			study is that there decreasing trend in financing higher education as well as technical education.
Joshi	2007	To examine the financing of higher education by private sector	Philippine s	Private institutions for higher education in	Private educational institutes depend on tuition fee for revenues but they have good strategy that they manage to reduce the government spending on education. Study suggested that country
		and its implications for India.		Philippines	like India should also implement the same strategy to reduce the burden of government.
Ahmed	2008	To analyze and compare the finances of central universities	India	DU, JNU and Jamia Millia Islam	Study found that there was remarkable increase in the funding of central universities in India during study period. Study also found that the UGC provided remarkable financial support to the central universities of Delhi but the requirements of funds increased every year due to increase in students enrollment. The author suggested that a need to develop information and communication technologies (ICT) in university administration so that expenses of non-academic activities is reduced and efficiency is increased



Erins and	2015	To evaluate	Latvia	Education	Study reveals that state is facing
Erina		the financing		institutes of	problem of shortage of funds but this
		system and		Latvia	problem should not lead to reduced
		scope of			basic funds for higher education. Study
		improvement			suggested in order to improvement in
		in higher			existing model of financing, the stress
		education			should be given on increasing
		system in			performance efficiency by appointing
		Latvia.			specialist in education field.
Aristovnik	2013	To examine	Europe	EU states	Study reveals that efficiency in
		the efficiency			utilizing resource for education is
		in utilizing			significantly differ among EU states.
		expenditure			Hungary, Estonia & Slovenia set
		on education			benchmark for utilizing funds for
		EU states			education among all states.
Tilak	2015	To explore	India	Data of	Study reveals that there is decrease in
		the trends of		different	public expenditure on education that's
		financing		nations.	why other sources such as education
		higher			loan, non-government resources,
		education in			privatization and internationalization
		different			are becoming popular for education
		parts of			financing. But in the end study
		world			concluded that best way to finance
					higher education is financing by
					government from its tax and non-tax
					revenues
Goksu	2015	To compare	Turkey	Data	Study explored that most of the
and		higher		related to	countries spend more than 1.5% of
Goksu		education		different	their GDP while in some countries like
		financing in		countries	Canada, Korea and United States, this



different	rate exceed 2.5%. There are some
countries.	countries like Hungary, Italy and U.K
	,in which education expenditure is less
	than 1.5%

Literature suggested that there are studies on expenditure on education but very few of them focus on grants given by higher authority to the central universities. So, present study is done to cover the aspects of providing grants to central universities.

## **NEED AND OBJECTIVE:**

Education is pillar for the society. Economy grows if education grows and that can happen if educational institutes receive appropriate funds from the government. The present study attempts to know the growth rate in plan and non-plan grants provided by UGC to central universities. Te study will help to know whether there are sufficient funds are allocated by UGC to central universities or not. The study is comprises of two objectives that are

- 1. To know the growth rate in Plan funds allocation by UGC to central universities of India.
- 2. To know the growth rate in non-Plan funds allocation by UGC to central universities of India.

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:**

Secondary data has been collected for the purpose to know the growth rates of plan and nonplanned funds allocation. The data has been collected from the annual reports of UGC. There are 47 central universities in different states according to the UGC list as on 29/06/2017. But UGC provided planned grants to only 41 central universities out of which 6 Universities namely (i) Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur (ii) Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi (iii) Indian Maritime University, Chennai (iv) South Asian University, New Delhi (v) Nalanda University, Bihar and (vi) Rajiv Gandhi National Aviation University, Uttar Pradesh are not funded by the UGC and 25 universities for non-planned allocation is available. The study period has been taken form 2007-08 to 2015-16. The data so collected has been analyzed with the help of suitable tools of analysis. Percentages, graphs and diagrams have been used for analysis of the study. CAGR (Compound Annual Growth Rate) has been used to examine the growth of funds by using log linear regression model.



## FINDINGS OF STUDY:

Study comprises of 9 years data related to planned and non-planned grants allocation by UGC to Central universities. Data is collected for 41 central universities for planned allocation and 25 for non-planned universities Table 1 relates with the plan and non-planned funds allocation

Year	Planed Grants (Amount in Crores)	Non Planned Grants (Amount in Crores)	
2007	630.50	1304.52	
2008	635.58	1747.98	
2009	976.42	2669.70	
2010	1512.20	2612.06	
2011	1766.61	2974.35	
2012	2029.11	3137.12	
2013	1784.22	3246.24	
2014	1835.60	3527.34	
2015	1522.25	3955.10	
CAGR	14.68%	12.64%	

Table-1

Source: UGC annual reports.

## Graph 1 represents planned and non-planned grants received by central Universities





- Series 1 denotes planned grants.
- Series 2 denotes Non Planned grants.

Compound annual growth rate of planned and non-planned grants has been computed by using log linear regression model. Findings reveal that for planned grants CAGR is found to be significant at 0.01% (0.007<0.01) level of significance and CAGR is found to be 14.68%., and same with the case of non-planned grants CAGR is found to be significant at 0.01% (0.000<0.01) level of significance and CAGR is found to be 12.64%.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Expansion of education is mainly depends on how education institutes like universities grow and that can be possible if universities receive adequate funds from higher authorities. The budgetary allocations on university education by the central government have been continuously increasing during study period but the rate of increase not necessarily kept pace with total expenditure. Study concludes that UGC is providing two types of grants to Central Universities that are Planned and Non Planned. Planned grants are growing at significant compound annual growth rate of 12.64%. Beside granting funds there is need of effectively utilizing funds because education sector is facing financial crunch. For increasing excellence in education, not only the regular funding is required even there is need to utilize it in an efficient manner. To improve education financing, need to focus on increasing efficiency, equity and improved budget planning, transparency and accountability innovations.



#### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Afonso, A. and Santos, M., 2004. Public tertiary education expenditure in Portugal: a non-parametric efficiency analysis.
- Ahmad, S. 2008 Finances of Central University of Delhi: A comparative study University News, 46(33), AIU, New Delhi, pp.10-20.
- 3. Aristovnik, A., 2013. Relative efficiency of education expenditures in Eastern Europe: a non-parametric approach. *J Knowl Manag Econ Inf Technol*, *3*(3), pp.1-13.
- 4. Chandra, A. 2010. *Does government expenditure on education promote economic growth? An econometric analysis.* University Library of Munich, Germany.
- Erins, I., & Erina, J. (2015). The Higher Education Financing System: The Case of Latvia. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 177, 183-185.
- 6. Goksu, A., & Goksu, G. G. 2015. A Comparative Analysis of Higher Education Financing in Different Countries. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *26*, 1152-1158.
- 7. https://www.ugc.ac.in/
- https://www.ugc.ac.in/oldpdf/Consolidated%20list%20of%20Central%20Universities%2 0as%20on%2029.06.2017.pdf
- 9. Iyer, T. 2009. Is public expenditure on primary education effective? Evidence from districts across India.
- Joshi, K. M. 2007. An exploration of private sector financing of higher education in the Philippines and its policy implications for India. *Journal of Faculty of Educational Sciences*, 40(2), 321-346.
- 11. Prakash, V. 2007. Trends in growth and financing of higher education in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 3249-3258.
- 12. Tilak, J. 2015. Global trends in funding higher education. *International Higher Education*, (42).
- 13. Tilak, J. B. (n.d.). NV Varghese Jandhyala BG Tilak. Retrieved from <u>http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jandhyala\_Tilak/publication/44815577\_The\_Financing\_of\_education\_in\_India/links/5413e9050cf2bb7347db2a4a.pdf</u>
- 14. Tilak, J. B. 1991. Family and government investments in education. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *11*(2), 91-106



- 15. Tilak, J. B., & Rani, G. 2002. Changing pattern of university finances in India. *Journal of Services Research*, 2(2), 5–46
- 16. Weidman, J. C. 1995. Diversifying finance of higher education systems in the third world. *ASHE reader on finance in higher education*, 2, 747-755.